

Oregon, and the country west of the Missouri. But, as they have not yet been received, no definite information can now be given as to the precise character of their stipulations.

In New Mexico many depredations have been committed on the inhabitants by the warlike tribes of Apaches and Comanches, notwithstanding their treaty obligations to abstain from all such aggressions. Hostile incursions have also been made by them into the territory of the Republic have been carried out, and many citizens of the Republic have been carried off as captives into the Indian country. The agents of the United States in that quarter have used every means in their power to prevent these outrages, but without success. It may therefore become proper to bring the military power of the country in aid of the civil authorities in teaching these lawless bands to respect the rights of our citizens and those whom we have engaged to protect.

The acquisition of New Mexico and California and the rapid expansion of our settlements in Oregon and Utah have given increased importance to our Indian relations, and may render a change in our whole policy in regard to them necessary. Heretofore, our settlements being confined to the eastern portion of our continent, we have been gradually forcing the Indian tribes westward, as the tide of population flowed in that direction. By this means they have been accumulated in large numbers on our Western frontier. The results have been injurious to our Indians by crowding together in such numbers that the game is insufficient for their support; and injustice to the Western States, whose security is endangered by the proximity of their savage neighbors. But, since the acquisition of California and Oregon and the establishment of large settlements on the Pacific coast, the tide of population from the West is pressing in advancing upon them from both sides of the continent. On the north and the south they are also hemmed in by civilized communities. They are thus encompassed by an unbroken chain of civilization, and the question arises, whether upon the mind of the Indian, and the philanthropist, to become of the aboriginal race? This question must now be fairly met. A temporizing system can no longer be pursued. The policy of removal, except under peculiar circumstances, must necessarily be abandoned. And the only alternative left is to choose between two courses. We first adopt one or the other. A just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

When we first adopted one or the other, a just, humane, and christian people cannot long hesitate which to choose; and it only remains to decide upon the means necessary to be adopted to effect the contemplated revolution in the Indian character and destiny.

any addition thereto. The fee bills of the respective States were thus made the standard by which the fees of the officers of the United States courts were to be computed. At the time this law was passed there were but sixteen States in the Union, in all of which fees were prescribed by law. Since that date fifteen new States have been admitted, in many of which there are no fees prescribed by law for attorneys, and in others they are inadequate to the services rendered.

In most of the older States the fee bills have been changed from time to time, and in some of them very liberal allowances have been made to officers. A question has therefore arisen, whether the law of 1790 (the terms of which are in the present tense) should be construed to refer to the office, or to have a prospective relation to the date of the act, or to have a prospective relation to the changes subsequently made. In some of the older States it has received the former construction, and in others the latter. The more general practice, however, is to construe the law so as to give the highest compensation to their officers is liberal, and the business in the United States courts large, the compensation to the officers of those courts became extravagant. To remedy this evil a proviso was inserted in the general appropriation act, approved March 3, 1855, to limit their fees in cases where the aggregate compensation exceeded \$1,500, to the fees allowed for similar services. Difficulties having been experienced in administering this law, because it could not be known until the end of the year whether the compensation of the officers would be limited, it was therefore impossible for him to tell by what rule he should graduate his charges, a proviso was inserted in the appropriation act of 1842 requiring all those officers to return semi-annual accounts of their emoluments, and limiting the charges in all cases in the districts of New York and of the original jurisdiction in that State. Some judges have held that the proviso in the act of 1841 was temporary in its character and expired by limitation. Others have held that it was repealed by the proviso in the act of 1842, and consequently that the act of 1790 remained in force, and thus the whole subject is involved in confusion and difficulty, and the practical effects are inequality and injustice.

The report of the Comptroller exposes many ingenious devices by which exorbitant compensation has been obtained by marshals, attorneys, and clerks for similar services. It will be seen that attorneys have been in some instances received as many as TWELVE RETAINING FEES in the same cause! and a like number of fees for making out briefs and coming to court prepared for trial. In one case a district attorney and his predecessor received an aggregate of near five hundred dollars, when in some of the States he could by no possibility have received more than twenty dollars for the same service, and in others not so much.

In some States the practice prevails of suing out a habeas corpus to take a prisoner from jail into the court, and a formal warrant to return him to jail again; and if the trial continues a week, similar proceedings are instituted from day to day. So also, when witnesses are committed to custody in default of surety for their appearance, they are brought out from day to day, as persons who are needed to testify, and returned again to prison by the same complicated and expensive proceedings.

As a further illustration of the confusion of the law in regard to the fees of officers of the courts, I will state a single example: The law establishing courts in New Mexico and Utah provides in substance that the attorneys for those Territories shall receive the same fees and salary as the attorney for the Territory of Oregon. Upon turning to the act establishing courts in Oregon, it is found that the attorney for that Territory was to receive the same fees as were allowed to the attorney for the late Territory of Wisconsin; and in examining the act establishing the Territory of Wisconsin, it is found that the attorney for that Territory was to receive the same fees and salary as the attorney for Michigan Territory, which are described in the act of February 27th, 1835, creating the office of Attorney of the United States for each of the Territories, as being "the usual fees of officers," and an annual salary of \$1,500. Here we find that the attorney for the Territory of New Mexico and Utah which utterly fail to lead to any satisfactory result for want of certainty, and so it is with regard to the fees of attorneys and clerks in Minnesota and Oregon. In the Territory of Michigan the statutes allowed attorneys no taxable fees, and the amount of their compensation was therefore not to be allowed, according to law, in the Territory of Wisconsin, and that none can be allowed to the attorneys in Minnesota, Oregon, New Mexico, or Utah.

I might proceed to point out many other defects, obscurities, and incongruities in the laws, and many flagrant abuses which have grown out of the present system, as there has doubtless been enough said to call the attention of Congress to the subject, I shall content myself with a reference to the report of the Comptroller for more full and detailed information. Enough will appear from that to warrant the recommendation that all the laws relating to the fees of officers of the courts be revised, so as to secure uniformity in compensation, and to prevent future abuses.

It is not necessary to say that the compensation of all public officers should be sufficient to command the services of men of talent and character. But it should be uniform in all parts of the Union, having proper reference to the expense of living and the amount of the services to be performed. There is such disparity as now exists, nor should the compensation allowed to mere ministerial officers bear such disproportion to that of the Judges. As far as practicable the compensation of attorneys should be made by fixed salaries, and in civil suits the fees of clerks and marshals should be about one-third the average of the fees allowed to State officers for similar services; but uniform in all the States, even should it be found necessary in some cases, where the aggregate may not yield sufficient compensation, to make up the deficiency out of the Treasury.

Every facility should be afforded to suitors to assert their claims before the courts of the United States. The exorbitant fees which now constitute an almost insuperable obstacle to seeking redress of injuries to those tribunals should be diminished.

The Federal Courts are daily increasing in their relative importance. The tribunals of the States, which are exposed to the influence of local prejudices and popular excitement, less confidence will be felt by non-resident suitors in their impartiality and independence; and when they have rights to assert or injuries to redress in a sister State, they will desire to seek their remedy in the courts of the United States.

Similar considerations suggest the propriety of a general revision of the salaries of the judges, with a view to render them more uniform and proportionate to the labor and responsibility of the office. The following table will show the amounts which they now respectively receive:

Districts.	Annual salary.
Maine	\$1,800
New Hampshire	1,000
Massachusetts	2,500
Vermont	1,300
Rhode Island	1,500
Connecticut	1,500
New York (Northern District)	2,900
New York (Southern District)	3,900
New Jersey	1,500
Pennsylvania (Eastern District)	1,500
Pennsylvania (Western District)	1,800
Delaware	1,500
Maryland	2,000
Virginia (Eastern District)	1,800
Virginia (Western District)	1,000
North Carolina	1,500
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000
Alabama	1,500
Illinois	1,500
Ohio	1,500
North Carolina	2,000
South Carolina	2,000
Georgia	1,500
Louisiana	3,000
Mississippi	2,000</